

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
Plaintiff,

No. CR 14-00175 WHA

v.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,  
Defendant.

**REQUEST FOR OFFENDER  
PG&E TO SUPPLY  
INFORMATION**

1. The Court has read the report in the Wall Street Journal dated July 10, 2019, bearing the headline “PG&E Knew for years Its Lines Could Spark Wildfires, and Didn’t Fix Them.” PG&E is hereby ordered to file a public statement with the Court responding to the report on a paragraph-by-paragraph basis stating the extent to which each paragraph is accurate. The offender (PG&E) may not evade response by saying, for example, that it cannot know what documents the Wall Street Journal reviewed. The offender should know the extent to which the story is accurate or not since the report covers what PG&E knows internally. In the past, the offender has responded to some of the Court’s questions by filing thousands of records and leaving it to the judge to find the needles in the haystacks. This time, the offender must provide a fresh, forthright statement owning up to the true extent of the Wall Street Journal report. The offender’s response shall be up to **40 DOUBLE-SPACED PAGES**.
2. Separately, in **10 DOUBLE-SPACED PAGES** or less, the offender shall answer the following questions:

